CSOs call for a future-proof EU Bioeconomy Strategy

In light of the revision of the EU Bioeconomy Strategy, civil society organizations are calling for a future bioeconomy that is socially just, ecologically sustainable and economically efficient.

There is no doubt that an economy primarily based on fossil resources is a model of the past. In this context, the bioeconomy, as an economic system fundamentally reliant on biomass, made from plants, animals and biological waste, presents itself as a potentially sustainable scenario for the future.

However, a closer examination reveals a more complex and troubling reality. As it stands, the bioeconomy risks exacerbating <u>social inequalities</u>, undermining <u>human rights</u>, and <u>accelerating environmental degradation</u>. Natural ecosystems are already <u>under immense pressure</u> from the <u>growing demand for biomass</u>.

The limits of what ecosystems can sustainably provide have-long-been-exceeded. Without embedding this shift in a broader socio-ecological transformation and a substantial reduction in overall consumption, a mere substitution of fossil resources with biological ones would not solve the problem; it would deepen it.

We, the undersigned civil society organizations, therefore urge the European Union to develop a bioeconomy that truly recognises, respects and protects ecosystems' ecological boundaries, thus protecting people, nature and our climate.

Staying Within Limits: Tackling the EU's Growing Biomass Gap

The EU is on track to face a growing biomass gap, where the demand for biomass outpaces its supply. We support the European Commission's analysis highlighting this risk, and stress that without swift and comprehensive action, the EU bioeconomy strategy will exacerbate ecological degradation and undermine climate and biodiversity goals.

First and foremost, it is essential to acknowledge that the production and consumption of biomass must not exceed planetary boundaries. The availability of biomass is inherently limited. The need to preserve and restore natural ecosystems, such as forests and wetlands, as vital carbon sinks and biodiversity reservoirs further restricts the potential supply of biomass. Meeting climate and biodiversity targets will therefore require the conservation and sustainable management of a significantly larger share of global land resources.

The assumption that biological waste and residual materials can bridge the biomass gap is misleading. Two key aspects are particularly relevant here. Materials often referred to as "residues" may in fact serve essential ecological functions.

For instance, so-called forest residues do not truly exist in a natural sense—nature does not produce waste. These materials play crucial roles in nutrient cycles and habitat preservation. Additionally, the technical and economic potential of residual biomass is already largely exploited, and as such, these resources do not represent a significant additional source for the expansion of the bioeconomy.

Given the reality of limited sustainably available biomass, it is imperative to use these resources as economically and ecologically efficiently as possible. This requires prioritizing their application in sectors where they offer the greatest added value and support a genuinely sustainable bioeconomy. For example, the principle of cascading use ensures that biomass is primarily used for high-value applications like food, materials or chemicals. Similarly, applying circular economy principles to the bioeconomy means minimizing waste, extending the lifespan of materials, and promoting reuse and recycling wherever possible.

Conversely, biomass should not be wasted on low-value or inefficient applications such as bioenergy production, single-use paper products, or feed for industrial livestock. These uses are considered inefficient because they deliver minimal climate or resource-efficiency benefits per unit of biomass, often lead to high emissions, and compete directly with higher-value or more sustainable uses of the same resources.

Putting Justice at the Centre of the Bioeconomy

The EU must not attempt to close its biomass gap by increasing imports of biomass from the Global South, an approach that risks deepening extractivism and perpetuating historical injustices and human rights abuses. Many bioeconomy scenarios envision securing biomass supplies through Large-scale Imports. This reliance raises serious concerns regarding global equity and sustainability. In many regions of the world, industrial-scale agriculture and forestry already contribute to the displacement of local communities, the exploitation of labour, and the degradation of ecosystems. A substantial increase in EU biomass demand, as projected in several transition models, risks intensifying these social and ecological injustices. It reflects a continuation of the Global North's overconsumption at the expense of the Global South.

To address these challenges, a dual strategy is essential: reducing overall resource and energy consumption in the EU through binding demand reduction policies and sufficiency strategies, only using biomass for higher-use values, and enforcing strong

ecological and social sustainability standards across global supply chains. A fair transition must confront the structural inequalities behind overconsumption, ensuring that the wealthiest parts of society and regions, those most responsible for emissions and resource depletion, cut their consumption first and fastest. A just bioeconomy must also uphold the rights and agency of vulnerable communities, making sure decarbonisation efforts do not come at the expense of people or the planet. Only by putting justice at the centre and reducing inequality can the EU build a truly sustainable and inclusive bioeconomy.

Avoiding Policy Incoherence towards a Sustainable Bioeconomy

The bioeconomy is a cross-cutting issue that intersects with a wide range of EU regulations, strategies, and policy initiatives, but some of these regulations contradict and undermine each other. Therefore, the development of a future EU Bioeconomy Strategy must be carefully aligned with existing frameworks. It is crucial to reduce the contradictions between different policy instruments and avoid that they result in increased pressure on ecosystems or the violation of human rights.

Signatories (as of 23th June 2025):

- ARA Germany
- Association For Promotion Sustainable Development
 India
- Association pour la Conservation et la Protection des Écosystèmes des Lacs et l'Agriculture Durable - DR Congo
- Aurora Sweden
- AXIAL, Naturaleza y Cultura Paraguay
- Bank Information Center USA
- BankTrack Netherlands
- Biodiversity Conservation Center Russia
- BirdLife Europe and Central Asia Belgium/International
- BirdLife Sverige Sweden
- Bond Beter Leefmilieu Belgium
- Canopea Belgium
- CEDENMA Ecuador
- Center for Climate Change North Macedonia
- Centro de Documentación en Derechos Humanos "Segundo Montes Mozo SJ" – Ecuador
- ClientEarth Belgium
- CliMates France
- Comité Schone Lucht Netherlands
- denkhausbremen Germany
- Deutsche Umwelthilfe Germany
- Deutscher Naturschutzring (DNR) Germany
- Earth Thrive UK/Serbia
- Ecodes Spain
- ECOS Belgium
- eco-union Spain
- Environmental Paper Network International
- Evo-Tiras International Association of River Keepers
 Moldova
- Fair Finance International International
- FDCL-Center for Research and Documentation Chile-Latin America - Germany

For instance, conservation targets set out under the Nature Restoration Law must not be undermined by an increase in biomass demand driven by an updated Bioeconomy Strategy. There is a particular risk that intensified logging could lead to the further degradation of forests, thereby reducing even more of their capacity to contribute to climate goals in the LULUCF (Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry) sector, and instead turning them into net sources of carbon emissions, as it is already happening in Germany, Finland or Estonia.

Moreover, the Renewable Energy Directive continues to stimulate additional demand for wood and crops, placing it in direct competition with material uses that should be prioritized in a sustainable bioeconomy. Another example of conflicting policy objectives is the continued permission of the widespread use of single-use paper products within European markets under the recently adopted Packaging and Packaging Waste Regulation. This is contrary to the principles of a circular bioeconomy.

The undersigned civil society organizations see these recommendations as a concrete contribution to building a responsible and future-proof bioeconomy. The upcoming revision of the EU Bioeconomy Strategy could help us take an important step in this direction.

- Fern Belgium
- Finnish Association for Nature Conservation Finland
- Focus Association for Sustainable Development Slovenia
- Foodrise Netherlands
- Forests Now Poland
- Forum Ökologie & Papier Germany
- Fundación Pachamama Ecuador
- Gemeinwohl-Ökonomie Deutschland Germany
- Greenish Foundation Egypt
- Instituto para el Futuro Común Amerindio IFCA Honduras
- Landelijk Netwerk Bossen- en Bomenbescherming -The Netherlands
- Latvian Ornithological Society Latvia
- Leefmilieu Netherlands
- Naturwald Akademie Germany
- Oxfam Belgium/International
- Partnership For Policy Integrity (PFPI) USA
- Pracownia na rzecz Wszystkich Istot Poland
- Protect the Forest Sweden
- Quercus Associação Nacional de Conservação da Natureza - Portugal
- Red de Acción por los Derechos Ambientales RADA Chile
- Rettet den Regenwald Germany
- Salva la Selva Spain
- Stichting Mobilisation for the Environment Netherlands
- Stowarzyszenie Nasz Las Tulecki Poland
- The Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) UK
- Transport & Environment Belgium
- United Kingdom Without Incineration Network (UKWIN) UK
- Univerisité Catholique de Lille France
- Wild Europe Foundation Netherlands
- Zero Waste British Columbia Canada

This joint CSO statement was drafted by denkhausbremen, Oxfam, Fern, Environmental Paper Network and Ecodes. For further information, please contact Peter Gerhardt at peter@denkhausbremen.de or Julie Bos at julie.bos@oxfam.org.